

DELPHI EXPERT CONSENSUS STUDY: FINAL REPORT

Richard Bailey (CEREPS), Roland Naul (Willibald
Gebhardt Institute), Claude Scheuer (University of
Luxembourg), Xheni Dimraj (CEREPS)
and the EduPASS Project Partners

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



July 2023

Technical sheet

Title: R#1

Authors: Richard Bailey (CEREPS), Roland Naul (Willibald Gebhardt Institute), Claude Scheuer (University of Luxembourg), Xheni Dimraj (CEREPS) and the EduPASS Project Partners

Number of pages: 19

Year: 2024

Cite as: Bailey, R., Naul, R., Scheuer, C., Dimraj, X. & the EduPASS Project Partners (2024). Delphi Expert Consensus Study: Final Report. EduPASS Project R#1 Project Output, 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14711570>

Project: Education for Physical Activity and Sport: Informal and Non-formal Settings

Project Coordinator: Claude Scheuer (until February 2023) and Andreas Bund (from February 2023)

Funder: European Commission

Programme: Erasmus+ Key Action 2: Cooperation for innovation and the exchange of good practices 2020

Action Type: Strategic Partnerships for Higher Education

Reference: 2021-2-LU01-KA220-HED-000051179

Timeline: 1 May 2022 – 31 October 2024

Project Sheet: <https://erasmus-plus.ec.europa.eu/es/projects/search/details/2021-2-LU01-KA220-HED-000051179>

For further information on the EduPASS Project please follow the link:

Website: <https://edupass-project.eu/>

PROJECT PARTNERS

The authors wish to acknowledge the contribution of the Education for Physical Activity and Sport: Informal and Non-formal Settings (EduPASS) project team for the development of the outputs here referenced for EduPASS (2024).

No.	Institution	Involved researchers
1	University of Luxembourg	Andreas Bund, Manolis Adamakis
2	Universidad de Sevilla	Francis Ries, Jerónimo García Fernández
3	Sport Ireland Coaching	Declan O’Leary
4	Willibald Gebhardt Institute	Roland Naul, Sebastian Brueckner
5	CEREPS	Katharina Groene
6	Valgo	Manuel Valcarce, Sergio García

Disclaimer: The European Commission's support to produce this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents, which reflect the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use, which may be made of the information contained therein.

INTRODUCTION

About EduPASS

Non-formal and informal physical activity, movement, play, and sport (PAMPS) benefit all in the physical, social, and cognitive domains. Therefore, adequate educational programmes for educators active in non-formal settings and coaches active in informal settings in sport clubs (initial education; professional development/in-service education) preparing for the delivery of quality physical activity, movement, play, and sport are of the highest importance.

The following box shows the working definitions for the key concepts of formal, non-formal, and informal learning¹.

Formal learning occurs in education and training institutions, leading to diplomas and other qualifications recognised by relevant national authorities. Formal learning is structured according to educational arrangements such as curricula qualifications and teaching-learning requirements.

Non-formal learning is learning that is in addition to or alternative to formal learning. In some cases, it is also structured according to educational and training arrangements but more flexibly. It usually takes place in community-based settings, the workplace, and through the activities of civil society organisations (which includes groups, non-governmental organisations, labour unions, charitable organisations, faith-based organisations, professional associations, and foundations)².

Informal learning is learning that occurs in daily life, in the family, in the workplace, in communities and through the interests and activities of individuals. This includes sports clubs, social clubs, PAMS in public places, parks, and playgrounds. In some cases, the term ‘experiential learning’ refers to informal learning that focuses on learning from experience. It is unorganised and often unsystematic, yet it accounts for most of any person’s lifetime learning.

The EduPASS project partners recognise that one issue for respective curriculum formulation on vocational and higher education levels is what constitutes an educator and a coach for PAMPS, the relationship between these roles within different European education systems, and potential connection points. Therefore, an inclusive approach must be taken, and current European-wide practices must be considered.

Consequently, the project partners are seeking a general approach focusing on the education and training of educators and coaches of PAMPS, allowing an adaptation to

¹ A special report has also been produced in support of this study. It is entitled ‘Formal, Non-formal, and Informal Physical Activity Learning Experiences: clarifying the meanings and usages’.

² World Economic Forum (2023). <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2018/04/what-is-civil-society/>

national/regional contexts and / or different phases of education and training (initial education; professional development/in-service education).

Because of the diverse accreditation practices of well-established and legally constituted national frameworks across Europe, the need for flexibility in providing educational programmes has to be recognised.

About the EduPASS Delphi Expert Consensus Study

An important step in developing the EduPASS project's knowledge base was to agree and articulate a shared European framework for physical activity and sport in non-formal and informal settings. A group of international, Europe-based experts were invited to take part in a 'Delphi Expert Consensus Study'. The basic idea behind Delphi studies is to draw on the 'wisdom of the crowd' to address complex and / or under-researched topics. In the case of EduPASS, the foci were the fundamental competences underlying non-formal and informal PAMPS.

The recruited experts anonymously replied to repeated, increasingly focused questions, which enabled the project team to find a strong consensus view. The framework adopted for this study was based on the influential OECD 'DeSeCo' (Definition and Selection of Competences) model³. DeSeCo proposes categorising competences in four ways:

Knowledge: includes theoretical concepts and ideas, in addition to practical frameworks based on the experience of having performed in the relevant settings;


Skills: the abilities and capacities to carry out processes and be able to use one's knowledge in a responsible way to achieve a goal;

Attitudes: learned tendencies or readiness to evaluate things or react to some ideas, people, or situations in specific ways, either consciously or unconsciously. Attitudes are underpinned by values and beliefs and influence behaviour;

Values: principles and core beliefs shared by individuals and groups that guide and motivate attitudes, choices, and behaviour and serve as broad guidelines for social life.

So, the Delphi study sought insight from the experts in terms of eight domains:

³ Ananiadou, K. and M. Claro (2009). 21st Century Skills and Competences for New Millennium Learners in OECD Countries. OECD Education Working Papers, No. 41. OECD Publishing; Rychen, D. S. E., & Salganik, L. H. E. (2001). *Defining and selecting key competencies*. Hogrefe & Huber.



Methods

General Approach

The approach chosen for eliciting an expert group’s view was a 3-stage Delphi study, which involves a series of iteratively modified questionnaires to a group of experts until consensus among them is reached or until opinions are stable across rounds of the survey⁴. Delphi was selected for this element of the EduPASS project as it is a widely used research method for eliciting and refining group judgement based on the rationale that a group of experts is better than one expert when exact knowledge is unavailable. In addition, it allows experts to share their ideas, individually and as part of a group, in a manner that avoids potential confrontation of their views. Anonymity throughout the process and multiple rounds of controlled feedback help the research team limit the influence of peer comments. Within the Delphi methodology, the sample size is not aimed at representativeness but is evaluated by the quality of the panel⁵.

Applications of the Delphi method highlight its flexibility of use; researchers can customise the process reflecting their needs and context. In the case of the present study, the cohort of experts was initially invited to share their opinions about core aspects of non-formal and informal learning (rather than the more common strategy of responding to statements developed by the project team⁶). These statements were analysed and presented back to the experts for their judgement. This modification notwithstanding, this study adhered to the fundamental principles of the Delphi method: “(1) *anonymous group interactions and responses, (2) multiple rounds of questioning, and (3) the provision of feedback to the group between each round*”⁷.


The process is summarised in the figure below:

⁴ Nasa, P., Jain, R., & Juneja, D. (2021). Delphi methodology in healthcare research: how to decide its appropriateness. *World Journal of Methodology*, 11(4), 116.

⁵ Sinclair, J. B., Oyebode, J. R., & Owens, R. G. (2016). Consensus views on advance care planning for dementia: a Delphi study. *Health & social care in the community*, 24(2), 165-174.

⁶ Hirschhorn, F. (2019). Reflections on the application of the Delphi method: lessons from a case in public transport research. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 22(3), 309-322.

⁷ Chalmers, J. & Armour, M., 2019, The Delphi technique. In P. Liamputtong (ed.), *Handbook of Research Methods in Health Social Sciences*, pp. 715– 735. Springer.



The survey was administered electronically using a specialist survey application (<https://www.surveymonkey.com>). Data-gathering took place during June and July 2023.

The requested responses were as follows:

Round 1: lists of statements (Group A only; see below)

Round 2: scoring agreement with statements for each list with a 9-point Likert Scale

Round 3: ranking agreement with selected statements for each list

Experts

The project team sought a non-probabilistic, purposive sample of experts. A 4-step procedure identified experts was followed. First, partner organisations within the EduPASS Project suggested individuals with extensive experience in aspects of non-formal and informal learning. Second, project team members identified known researchers and practitioners from across Europe, addressing gaps in region and expertise. Third, a provisional cohort was drafted that balanced subject expertise and geographical coverage. Finally, the selection process was repeated to add new experts to the study. The composition of the expert group is summarised below.


Austria	Italy x2
Belgium	Luxembourg x2
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Malta x2
Cyprus	Netherlands x2
Czech Republic x2	Portugal x8
Finland	Slovakia x2
Republic of North Macedonia x4	Slovenia
France x2	Spain x9
Germany	Sweden
Greece	Switzerland
Hungary	United Kingdom
Ireland	

Seventy-five messages of invitation were sent to identified experts within Europe, and 50 people accepted the invitation, representing a 66.6% response rate. This figure is

significantly higher than the average response rate for online surveys⁸ and can be understood as an unusually strong response.

As is evident from this list, the number of responses from different countries varied. However, it is also clear that there was a good geographical representation of the European continent.

Other demographical information is presented below. As can be seen, academics, including University teachers and researchers, formed the largest group (30 experts), followed by school teachers (9). Although this suggests a somewhat unbalanced sample of experts, this pattern does reflect the sample composition of earlier Delphi studies⁹.



Participants were sent information about the study via email, and a direct link to the online questionnaire, with the landing page reiterating project information and informing participants of the anonymity and confidentiality of individual responses, as well as their right to informed, voluntary consent.

Response Rates

As is typical in repeated-round surveys like Delphi studies, there was a reduction in responses after the first round. However, there was subsequently a slight increase in the final round. Although this is somewhat unusual, all respondents participated in the first round. Therefore, this pattern was judged to be acceptable.

The response rates were as follows:

Round 1	50
Round 2	27

⁸ Wu, M. J., Zhao, K., & Fils-Aime, F. (2022). Response rates of online surveys in published research: A meta-analysis. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 7, 100206.

⁹ See, for example, Bailey, R., & Sweeney, R. (2022). Principles and strategies of inclusive physical activity: a European Delphi study. *Journal of Public Health*, 1-8, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10389-022-01770-8>.

Research Questions

Based on the framework discussed above, the experts were invited to answer eight questions:

N 1: What is the KNOWLEDGE necessary to work successfully in NON-FORMAL PAMPS settings?

N2: What SKILLS are necessary to work successfully in NON-FORMAL PAMPS settings?

N3: What ATTITUDES are necessary to work successfully in NON-FORMAL PAMPS settings?

N4: What VALUES are necessary to work successfully in INFORMAL PAMPS settings?

I1: What is the KNOWLEDGE necessary to work successfully in INFORMAL PAMPS settings?

I2: What are the SKILLS necessary to work successfully in INFORMAL PAMPS settings?

I3: What are the ATTITUDES necessary to work successfully in INFORMAL PAMPS settings?

I4: What are the VALUES necessary to work successfully in NON-FORMAL PAMPS settings?

Informal attitude



Informal values



Round 2

The findings from Round 1 give us an insight into how the experts conceptualise the competences underlying learning in non-formal and informal settings. Round 2 provided the opportunity to refine these ideas, reduce their number, and move towards a consensual list of competences suitable for guiding the development of professional programmes.

The process for creating the Round 2 list was as follows:

1. Removal of exact or near-exact duplicates (for example, 'collaboration', 'collaborative', and 'collaborative work' were treated as the same theme);
2. Consolidation of competences (for example, 'knowledge of assessment' was moved from 'skills' to 'knowledge'; all references to 'equality' were treated as 'values').

The competences are presented in order of ranking so that the items with the greatest degree of consensus appear higher in the lists.

Non-formal PAMPS			
Knowledge	Skills	Attitudes	Values
Motivation	Communication skills	Positive attitude	Fair play
Participants' needs	Providing a positive learning environment	Motivation	Ethical Practice
Pedagogical knowledge	Conflict resolution	Respect	Respect
Child development	Motivating young people	Empathy	Inclusion
Understanding individual differences	Observation skills	Cooperation	Responsibility
Youth development	Organizational skills	Valuing individual differences	Safety and Well-being
Behaviour management	Listening	Professional ethics	Equality
Creativity and problem-solving skills	Differentiating for different skill levels	Responsibility	Positive Relationships
Health and Safety	Empathy	Enthusiasm	Leadership
Ethical behaviour	Teaching skills	Sensitivity for diversity	Cooperation
The importance of fun	Adaptability	Commitment to Safety and Well-being	Integrity
Collaboration	Teamwork	Emotional intelligence	Friendship
PAMPS activities	Leadership	Open-mindedness	Teamwork
Content knowledge	Planning skills	Passion	Collaboration
Outdoor activities	Problem solving skills	Resilience	Tolerance
Programme design	Enthusiasm	Proactivity	Creativity
Creativity	Reflective practice	Accepting losing and winning the game	Lifelong Learning
Inclusion	Role modelling	Confidence	Autonomy
Working with the community	Observe	Optimism	Sportsmanship
Children's rights	Demonstration skills	Innovation	Solidarity
Growth mindset	Self-directed learning	Assertiveness	Community
Working with parents	Networking with other groups	Determination	Engagement
Basic games	Assessment skills		Democratic values
Legal aspects	Technical skills for different sports		Sustainability
Sports Psychology	Assessment		Environmental stewardship
Volunteering			
Digital literacy skills			
Sociology			

Informal PAMPS			
Knowledge	Skills	Attitudes	Values
Child's interests and preferences	Communication skills	Respecting children's needs and interests	Ethical behaviour
Knowledge of basic motor development	Promoting fun and enjoyment	Enthusiasm	Respect
Group Dynamics and Social Interaction	Conflict management skills	Creativity	Cooperation
Learner-centred approaches	Cooperation skills	Cooperation	Fair play
Basic pedagogical knowledge	Teaching / pedagogical skills	Motivating	Empathy
The role of play and exploration	Motivation	Fun and enjoyment	Inclusion
Knowledge on activities in informal PAMPS	Leadership skills	Empathy	Honesty
Health and safety processes	Active Listening	Patience	Fun and enjoyment
Facilitation techniques	Adaptability	Flexibility	Empowerment
Cultural Sensitivity and Diversity	Inclusion strategies	Encouragement	Equality
Experiential learning methods	Organisational skills	Inclusion	Children's rights
Behavioural management	Problem solving skills	Adaptability	Commitment
Collection of games	Behavioural management skills	Skilful facilitation	Healthy lifestyles
Outdoor Skills and Nature Knowledge	Observational skills	Imagination	Passion
Intervention strategies	Planning skills	Curiosity	Love of sport and physical activity
Developmental psychology	Development of coping strategies	Variety	Learning
The principles and theories of informal education	Facilitation skills	Perseverance	Integrity
Evaluation	Exercise and training applications	Dedication	Lifelong learning
Monitoring and assessment	Digital literacy	Courage	Health
Sport sciences	Monitoring and assessment	Digital literacy	Perseverance
Technology	Athletic Ability (sport-specific)		Determination
			Environmental stewardship
			Democracy

Round 3

The final phase of the Delphi method - Round 3 (N=32) – led to the articulation of lists of core competences supported by levels of agreement among the experts. These core competences - the 'EduPASS Master List' - are represented below. The numbers in brackets show the degree of consensus among the experts, so the higher the number, the greater the degree of agreement.

There are two standard ways of generating a list like this. The first way is to identify a certain score as an indication of consensus; the second way is to choose a number of results. For the purposes of the current study, the latter was chosen as it was judged that a balance of competences across the different domains (Knowledge, Skills, Attitudes, and Values) would be useful and usable for subsequent elements of the EduPASS project.

Non-formal learning

Knowledge

Motivation (8.65)
Participants' needs (8.43)
Pedagogical knowledge (8.22)
Child development (8.17)
Understanding individual differences (8.13)
Youth development (8.0)
Behaviour management (7.96)
Creativity & problem-solving skills (7.83)

Skills

Communication skills (8.7)
Providing a positive learning environment (8.57)
Conflict resolution (8.43)
Motivating young people (8.39)
Listening (8.09)
Observation skills (8.22)
Organisational skills (8.13)
Differentiating for different skill levels (8.04)

Attitudes

Positive attitude (8.61)
Motivation (8.52)
Respect (8.48)
Empathy (8.35)
Valuing individual differences (8.3)
Cooperation (8.3)
Professional ethics (8.22)
Responsibility (8.22)

Values

Fair play (8.43)
Ethical Practice (8.35)
Respect (8.22)
Inclusion (8.17)
Responsibility (8.17)
Safety and Well-being (8.09)
Equality (8.0)
Positive Relationships (8.0)

Knowledge

Child's interests & preferences (7.87)
Knowledge of basic motor development (7.83)
Group Dynamics & Social Interaction (7.74)
Learner-centred approaches (7.52)
Basic pedagogical knowledge (7.43)
The role of play & exploration (7.39)
Knowledge of activities in informal PAMPS (7.3)
Health & safety processes (7.26)

Skills

Communication skills (8.3)
Promoting fun and enjoyment (8.3)
Conflict management skills (8.09)
Cooperation skills (8.04)
Teaching / pedagogical skills (8.0)
Motivation (7.91)
Leadership skills (7.78)
Active Listening (7.74)

Attitudes

Respecting children's needs and interests (8.39)
Enthusiasm (8.35)
Cooperation (8.26)
Motivating (8.26)
Creativity (8.3)
Fun and enjoyment (8.04)
Empathy (8.0)
Patience (8.0)

Values

Ethical behaviour (8.3)
Respect (8.26)
Fair play (8.22)
Cooperation (8.22)
Empathy (7.96)
Inclusion (7.96)
Honesty (7.91)
Fun & enjoyment (7.87)

Informal learning

Conclusion

This is the first expert consensus study of the principles of non-formal and informal participation in sporting and physical activities. It sought insight from an international group of experts about the knowledge, skills, attitudes, and values underpinning effective support for people working in non-formal and informal learning settings. This, it was hoped, would offer an empirical basis for support and development in a domain where very little has been available. Their project's scope and inherent complexity presented certain challenges to the project team. This is not unusual in studies like this. As is always the case with novel and exploratory research, the findings from this study should be considered provisional. More research is needed.

The study has some strengths that should be acknowledged. One strength is the relatively large expert group, at least during the early stages of the study. This supports any claims for representativeness of the findings. Another is the range of geographical backgrounds, with a balance of experts drawn from the four European regions (West and East, North and South). This is important for a study like this, claiming, as it does, a genuinely European perspective. Perhaps the most significant quality of this project is the inclusion of a wide range of competences (knowledge, skills, attitudes, values). It seems that many professional competence studies focus on either knowledge, skills, or both. In the present study, the ambition was to integrate a much more comprehensive range of competences. And, of course, data were gathered in two settings (non-formal and informal).

As is evident from the findings, there is a close similarity between the two sets of findings. We can only guess why this is the case. It might be that the foundational skills for non-formal and informal are essentially the same, with the implication that much of the professional learning opportunities for the two settings might be adequately met by a common programme. In addition, the close similarity between the two final lists presents the possibility of inter-setting collaboration and sharing.

Every research project has its weaknesses or limitations. In this case, there was an over-representation of PE teachers and coaches. The problem with this situation is that non-formal and informal learning are defined precisely in terms of their difference from formal, fully organised sporting activities. However, it might be the case that these teachers and coaches also work in non-formal and informal settings. There is no way to settle this issue with the available information.

Overall, this report presents some fascinating findings that should be considered in planning support and development programmes for people working in PAMPS in non-formal and informal settings. If it serves to act as a stimulus for further research, that would be a bonus!